

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/965,234	09/25/2001	Jarett L. Rinaldi	42190P12122	7885	
75	590 01/28/2004		EXAM	INER	
Michael A Proksch			MITCHELL, JAMES M		
Blankely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Willshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
7th Floor		2827			
Los Anglels, C	A 90025		DATE MAILED: 01/28/200	DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/965,234	RINALDI ET AL.	(-
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James M. Mitchell	2827	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicable at the same of this application of the same of the sam	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened and continued the shortened strength of the	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ex	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		,	may reduce any
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	*	
10. Other:			
	on e	M	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-07) DAVID E. GRAYBILL PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The supporting material on or in which the components of an integrated circuit

are fabricated or attached form an integrated substrate, as such the substrate of the prior art falls within the broad scope with a LED admittedely affixed to the substrate. In regards to outstandning claims, The prior ar falls within scope of claims.